

 सत्यमेव जयते	<b>केंद्रीय कर आयुक्त (अपील)</b> <b>O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), CENTRAL TAX,</b> केंद्रीय कर भवन, सातवीं मंजिल, पोलिटेकनिक के पास, आम्बावाडी, अहमदाबाद-380015	 7 <sup>th</sup> Floor, GST Building, Near Polytechnic, Ambavadi, Ahmedabad-380015
 : 079-26305065	टेलिफैक्स : 079 - 26305136	

रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

1004570/10049

क फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(ST)173/Ahd-South/2018-19  
Stay Appl.No. /2018-19

ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-0170-2018-19  
दिनांक Date : 25-03-2019 जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue \_\_\_\_\_

श्री उमा शंकर आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित

15/4/2019

Passed by Shri. Uma Shanker, Commissioner (Appeals)

ग Arising out of Order-in-Original No. CGST-VI/Ref-112/Relcon/TOP/18-19 दिनांक: 30.11.2018  
issued by Assistant Commissioner, Div-VI, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South

घ अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent  
Relcon Infrprojects Pvt Ltd  
Ahmedabad

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :

**Revision application to Government of India :**

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप-धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।

(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।

(ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

(b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।



(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलों में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

(b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

(c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो ड्यूटी क्रेडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

(d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए-8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनांक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल-आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो-दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35-इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर-6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रुपये या उससे कम हो तो रुपये 200/- फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/- की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपील:-  
Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35-बी/35-इ के अंतर्गत:-

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ-20, न्यू मैन्टल हॉस्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद-380016

(a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.



The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registrar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

- (3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल आदेश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढ़ी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता है।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner notwithstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellate Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

- (4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूची-1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रु.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

- (5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention is invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

- (6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपील के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है। (Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

- (i) (Section) खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
- (ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
- (iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

⇒ यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है।

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

- (i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
- (ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
- (iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty alone is in dispute."



ORDER IN APPEAL

This appeal has been filed by M/s. Relcon Infraproject Ltd., 305 Atma House, Near Paradise Hotel, Opp. Reserve Bank of India, Ashram Road, Navrangpura, Ahmedabad – 380009 [for short –‘appellant’] against OIO No. CGST-VI/Ref-112/SKC/Relcon/18-19 dated 30.11.2018, passed by the Assistant Commissioner, CGST, Division VI, Ahmedabad South Commissionerate [for short –‘adjudicating authority’].

2. The facts to the present appeal are that appellant is engaged in providing the services under the category of “Works Contract Services” as defined under Section 65 (105) (zzzza) of the Finance Act, 1994. The appellant had filed a refund claim of Rs. 35,53,811/- for the refund of cenvat credit balance available of service tax and KKC as per the ST-3 return for the period from April-2017 to June-2017.

3. The adjudicating authority vide abovementioned OIO, rejected the refund claim of the appellant on the following grounds:

- The Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004 allows the refund of balance lying in the cenvat credit account only on particular circumstances like export of goods, accumulation of Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004.
- There is no question of re-credit of cenvat account after 30.06.2017 as there is no existence of the Cenvat Credit Rules, 2004 after 30.06.2017.
- The refund claim was filed on 29.06.2018 and thus the provisions of Central GST Act 2017 are also applicable. The Section 142 (3) of the Act, it is clarified that every claim for refund filed by any person before, on or after the appointed day, for refund of any amount of CENVAT credit, duty, tax, interest or any other amount paid under the existing law, shall be disposed of in accordance with the provisions of existing law and any amount eventually accruing to him shall be paid in cash, notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained under the provisions of existing law other than the provisions of sub-section (2) of section 11B of the Central Excise Act, 1944.
- The appellant has not carried forwarded the cenvat credit balance in the Trans-1, and in the CGST Act, 2017, there is no provision of refund of the balance of cenvat credit if not carried forwarded in the Trans-1 under the transitional provisions of the GST.

4. Feeling aggrieved, the appellant has filed this appeal raising the following grounds:

- As per the section 140(1) of the CGST Act, 2017 they were entitled to file Trans-1 but it was not mandatory to file the Trans-1 for this they relied on the judgement in the case of M/s Naffar Chandra Jute Mills Ltd. [ 1993(66)ELT574(Cal)];
- Re-credit/refund be allowed in respect of invoice in which the payment was not received within a period of three months under the provisions of the section 140(9) of the CGST Act, 2017
- An invoice dated 30.06.20147 was received by them after filing the revised ST-3 return of Apr-2017 to Jun, 2017 & there is no provision under the CGST Act, 2017 to deal with the situation;
- The appellant relied on the judgement in the case of Slovak India Trading Co. Pvt. Ltd. [2006(201) ELT 559 (Kar)] , Gauri Plasticulture P. Ltd. [ 2018(360) ELT 967 (BOM)] and Shalu Synthetics Pvt. Ltd. [ 2017 (346) ELT 413 (Tri – Ahmd)] ; for refund of unutilized input tax credit as they were intended to close the operation in the existing registration ;



- They are entitled to claim the refund under the provisions of sub-section (3) of section 142 of the CGST Act, 2017; and
- The appellant submitted that the Principle of Natural Justice was not followed by the adjudicating authority as neither show cause was issued to them nor opportunity to be heard in person was given to them.

5. A personal hearing was conducted on 26.03.2019 in the present appeal wherein Shri Pravin Dhandharia appeared on the behalf of the appellant and reiterated the grounds of appeal and submitted that neither show cause notice was issued to them nor personal hearing letter was issued to them. He requested to remand back the matter to the adjudicating authority.

6. On going through the facts of the present appeal, I find that principal of natural justice was not followed by the adjudicating authority while issuing the impugned order as no show cause notice was issued to the appellant and also no opportunity of personal hearing was given to the appellant.

7. In view of the foregoing, without going into the details of the appeal, I remand back the matter to the adjudicating authority with a direction to adhere the principle of natural justice to the appellant. The appellant is at all liberty to submit their submission before the adjudicating authority.

8. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपील का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

8. The appeal filed by the appellant stands disposed of in above terms.

*उमा शंकर*

(उमा शंकर)

प्रधान आयुक्त (अपील्स)

Date : 25.03.2019

Attested

*Vinod Lukose*  
(Vinod Lukose)  
Superintendent (Appeal),  
Central Tax,  
Ahmedabad.



By RPAD.

To,

M/s. Relcon Infraproject Ltd.,  
305 Atma House, Near Paradise Hotel,  
Opp. Reserve Bank of India,  
Ashram Road, Navrangpura,  
Ahmedabad – 380009

Copy to:-

1. The Chief Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad Zone .
2. The Principal Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad South Commissionerate.
3. The Assistant Commissioner, Central Tax Division- VI, Ahmedabad South Commissionerate.
4. The Assistant Commissioner, System, Central Tax, Ahmedabad South Commissionerate.
5. Guard File.
6. P.A.